IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

RENY SHERENE MORGAN,

Plaintiff pro se,

CIVIL ACTION FILE

v.

NO. 1:11-CV-02930-TWT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

Defendant.

ORDER AND FINAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court upon plaintiff <u>pro se</u>'s request to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> ("<u>IFP</u>") [1] pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

On August 31, 2011, plaintiff <u>pro se</u>, Reny Sherene Morgan, applied to proceed <u>IFP</u> and attached a Complaint [1-1], request for appointed counsel [1-3], and request for a restraining order [1-4]. Plaintiff's affidavit is internally inconsistent, stating that she spends her entire income—a \$604.00 monthly disability payment—on recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc., while also indicating that she spends no money on food, clothing, or any other living expense. (Aff. 2-5.)

Plaintiff submitted identical defective affidavits in the following seven previously filed cases: 1:11-cv-2834-TWT; 1:11-cv-2835-TWT-WEJ; 1:11-cv-2839-

Case 1:11-cv-02930-TWT Document 3 Filed 10/05/11 Page 2 of 4

TWT; 1:11-cv-2841-TWT; 1:11-cv-2842-TWT-WEJ; 1:11-cv-2843-TWT; and 1:11-

cv-2844-TWT. Plaintiff failed to follow the Court's Orders to amend the faulty

affidavits filed in those cases; therefore, the Court has no reason to believe plaintiff

will submit a corrected affidavit here.

Accordingly, the undersigned **DENIES** plaintiff's requests for appointed

counsel [1-3] and a restraining order [1-4] as prematurely filed, and

RECOMMENDS that plaintiff's request to proceed **IFP** [1] be **DENIED**

WITHOUT PREJUDICE as internally inconsistent and that this case be CLOSED.

SO ORDERED AND RECOMMENDED, this 5th day of October, 2011.

WALTER E. JOHNSON

alter E. Sanan

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

RENY SHERENE MORGAN,

Plaintiff pro se,

CIVIL ACTION FILE

v.

NO. 1:11-CV-02930-TWT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

Defendant.

ORDER FOR SERVICE OF FINAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Let this Final Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), and the Court's Local Rule 72.1B, be filed and a copy, together with a copy of this Order, be served upon counsel for the parties.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party may file written objections, if any, to the Final Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of the receipt of this Order. Should objections be filed, they shall specify with particularity the alleged error(s) made (including reference by page number to any transcripts if applicable) and shall be served upon the opposing party. The party filing objections

Case 1:11-cv-02930-TWT Document 3 Filed 10/05/11 Page 4 of 4

will be responsible for obtaining and filing the transcript of any evidentiary hearing

for review by the District Court. If no objections are filed, the Final Report and

Recommendation may be adopted as the opinion and order of the District Court, and

any appellate review of factual findings will be limited to a plain error review.

<u>United States v. Slay</u>, 714 F.2d 1093, 1095 (11th Cir. 1983) (per curiam).

The Clerk is directed to submit the Final Report and Recommendation with

objections, if any, to the District Court after expiration of the above time period.

SO ORDERED, this 5th day of October, 2011.

WALTER E. JØHNSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE